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August 2017 Updates to the *Surveyor Handbook*

MAERB Site Surveyors should review the entire *Surveyor Handbook* at least once a year and use it as a resource on a regular basis. Highlighted here, however, is the major change that was added in the August 2017 review.

1. “Materials to be Reviewed at the Site Visit”: The MAERB revised Policy 220 “Retention of Course Documents and Student Achievement Records,” and the change was implemented in the discussion. MAERB is no longer requiring that the program supply transcripts for all the students with the course materials. Surveyors, however, can certainly ask for a sample or example of a transcript to ascertain the sequence of the courses.
Introductory Material

GENERAL INFORMATION

MAERB Staff Members

Executive Director
Sarah R. Marino  smarino@maerb.org
Sarah’s cell phone:  773-354-0995

Assistant Director of Accreditation/Case Manager
Jim Hardman  jhardman@maerb.org

Case Managers:
Tasha Harris  tharris@maerb.org
Irene Lau  ilau@maerb.org
Judy Liptay  jliptay@maerb.org

Department – General Contact

Email  maerb@maerb.org
Phone  800-228-2262
Fax  312-899-1259

WEBSITE:  www.maerb.org

Foremost Travel Agency

Foremost Travel phone numbers:  800-225-7185 or 312-726-4492
Foremost Business Hours:  Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:00 pm (central)
Foremost Travel Agents:  Mary or Dan
Email:  Mary  maryb@foremosttravel.com  or Dan  dan@foremosttravel.com
24/7 number for travel emergencies due to weather or delays:  (800) 544-0971
Travel Profile:  https://foremosttravel.com/corporate-profile/

CAAHEP Information

Phone:  727-210-2350 (located in Florida – EST)

WEBSITE:  www.caahep.org
About this Handbook

This handbook focuses on the logistics of the site visits rather than in-depth training on the methods of conducting site visits. MAERB provides that training through on-ground training, on-line webinars, and other methods of communication.

This handbook is designed to provide an outline and overview of the process.

This Handbook will be reviewed and revised on an annual basis, so feedback will be extraordinarily helpful.

Medical Assisting Education Review Board

The Medical Assisting Education Review Board (MAERB) is a Committee on Accreditation (CoA) of the Commission on Accreditation of Allied Health Education Programs (CAAHEP). In addition, MAERB enjoys a very close relationship with the American Association of Medical Assistants (AAMA), based upon its legal status under the American Association of Medical Assistants Endowment (AAMAE) and AAMA’s role as a sponsoring organization.

MAERB consists of educators, administrators and practitioners within the fields of medical assisting and allied health. It represents the CAAHEP-accredited programs. Each member serves as a Liaison to numerous CAAHEP-accredited programs and works with the MAERB staff to review the pertinent materials that are submitted by the programs. The Liaison is not in direct contact with the programs; rather, the Liaison works directly with the MAERB office.

CAAHEP as the Accrediting Body

CAAHEP serves as the umbrella accreditation organization, and it is recognized by the Council on Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA). MAERB provides CAAHEP with recommendations about the accreditation status of the medical assisting programs based upon the CAAHEP Standards and Guidelines for the Accreditation of Educational Programs in Medical Assisting.

Legal Status with AAMAE

The Medical Assisting Education Review Board (MAERB) is an autonomous unit within the American Association of Medical Assistants Endowment (AAMAE). The AAMAE is a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit corporation that provides funding for medical assisting education. The MAERB conforms to the requirements of a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit corporation as well as supports the educational mission of the Endowment.

AAMA as a Sponsoring Organization

Within the structure of CAAHEP, the AAMA serves as a sponsoring organization to MAERB. As a sponsoring organization, the AAMA President, or designee, and Chief Executive Officer attend the open sessions of the MAERB meetings to provide input about the MAERB’s communities of interest, and the MAERB Chair and Executive Director of MAERB attend the open sessions of specific AAMA meetings to receive and share more information about shared interests. In addition, there are times in which members of the AAMA and MAERB serve on joint committees for special projects.

As mentioned above, the “sponsoring organization” structure is a part of CAAHEP, as every CoA is required to have at least one sponsoring organization, and the majority have several. The goal in
establishing sponsoring organizations is to serve as a formal mechanism for acquiring information for those who represent the profession as a whole.

It is important to both acknowledge the relationship with AAMA as a sponsoring organization as well as to clarify that MAERB does not provide programs with “AAMA” accreditation nor are the programs following “AAMA” standards, often a point of confusion. Because one of the pathways to take the CMA (AAMA) exam is to graduate from a CAAHEP-accredited medical assisting program, programs will often consider themselves as AAMA-accredited. All these points of confusion are a part of the history of MAERB, and they should be considered as starting points for further conversation and clarification.

The MAERB Office
The MAERB office is located within the office of the American Association of Medical Assistants (AAMA).

All programs currently accredited with CAAHEP, as well as those going through the process of initial accreditation, are assigned a MAERB staff member, who serves as the Case Manager for the program and to whom any questions or concerns should be directed. This individual has information readily available regarding the programs in their respective case files and should be the first contact with questions regarding the program that you are visiting as a site surveyor. Normally, the MAERB staff from whom you receive the Self Study will be that program’s Case Manager. The Executive Director and the Assistant Director of Accreditation (who also serves as a Case Manager) work closely with all the Case Managers to ensure that questions about accreditation are answered promptly and consistently. Case Managers will refer questions as appropriate to the Executive Director and the Assistant Director of Accreditation. In addition, the office remains in frequent contact with the MAERB Liaisons to rely on their expertise. The MAERB staff work together to ensure consistency and accuracy in response to the questions that they receive.

MAERB Site Surveyors
As part of CAAHEP’s accreditation process, medical assisting programs undergo a comprehensive review no less than once every ten years, a process that is designed by the MAERB. The comprehensive review requires that institutional and program personnel analyze and evaluate the program based upon the CAAHEP Standards and Guidelines for the Accreditation of Medical Assisting Programs.

MAERB relies upon volunteer site surveyors (peer reviewers) to visit the programs to verify, validate, and clarify that the information in the Self Study is accurate and that the program is complying with the CAAHEP Standards and Guidelines. Site surveyors accomplish the following tasks:

- Review the self-study and supporting documentation prior to the visit to determine if further clarification or documentation is needed prior to or during the site visit
- Review all required on-site documentation
- Verify that the program’s compliance outlined in the Self-Study is demonstrated onsite
- Focus on areas of concern identified by the MAERB Liaison
- Validate the program’s compliance with the Standards and Guidelines
- Clarify areas in which the program may not be following the specifics of the Standards and Guidelines.
MAERB site surveyors serve as representatives of MAERB/CAAHEP, and they report their findings to the MAERB members who, in turn, make recommendations that are reviewed and affirmed by CAAHEP.

The site visit is the culmination of many years of work, and it is the most visible part of the accreditation process for the program and the sponsoring institution. It is an event that involves the full spectrum of institutional personnel who support and serve the medical assisting program.

MAERB is committed to ensuring that programs undergoing the comprehensive review are treated consistently and respectfully. The goal of the MAERB Surveyor Handbook is to provide a comprehensive overview of the site visit and set the foundation for a good and productive experience.

MAERB Site Surveyor Qualifications and Responsibilities

MAERB Surveyor Qualifications

MAERB Surveyors fit into one of the following categories:

- **medical assisting educator**
  - be employed at least 30% of a full-time contract in a CAAHEP-accredited medical assisting program in good standing
  - have at least two years’ experience as a faculty member
  - have formal training in curriculum and instruction
  - have a minimum of an Associate degree
  - hold a current NCCA or ANSI-accredited medical assisting credential

- **medical assisting practitioner**
  - practice at least a minimum of 20 hours per week
  - be a graduate of a CAAHEP-accredited medical assisting program
  - have experience with medical assistant education, such as serving as a part-time faculty, practicum supervisor, or Advisory Committee member
  - hold a current NCCA or ANSI-accredited medical assisting credential

- **Dean/Administrator in allied health**
  - be employed by an educational institution having a CAAHEP-accredited medical assisting program
  - have experience in working with programmatic or institutional accreditation

- **Physician**
  - hold an unrestricted license to practice medicine within the United States

If a current surveyor is no longer employed in one of the fields listed above or has retired, there is a five-year window allowed for surveying. After five consecutive years of retired or unemployed status, the surveyor will no longer be eligible to go on site visits.

MAERB surveyors have a range of specialties and experiences, as they come from and serve a diverse group of medical assisting programs. It is expected that all surveyors will have a background, with varying levels of expertise, in the following areas to serve effectively on a survey team:

- Competency-based educational theory and performance
- Outcomes assessment
- Curriculum design and development in medical assisting
• Postsecondary education institutional processes and procedures
• Current trends and expertise in the field of medical assisting
• Principles of institutional administration, such as the fiscal policy and planning and organizational structure

In addition, there are several personal attributes that are very important in order to be effective as a surveyor. Surveyors need to demonstrate tact, sensitivity, collegiality, objectivity, professionalism, and open-mindedness. Effective communication skills are also highly important, as the surveyors have the responsibility of addressing diverse audiences. Along with all of that, it is expected that surveyors complete their duties within the timeframes that are allotted and focus specifically on the tasks at hand.

MAERB Surveyor Application
MAERB will send out an announcement to the CAAHEP-accredited medical assisting programs when they are accepting applications for surveyors. Prospective surveyors can then access the application from the website. The application asks for verification of the applicant’s qualifications and a brief personal statement. The applicant also needs to obtain recommendations from two people. The application and recommendations are then reviewed by the MAERB office to ensure that the applicant meets the qualifications. MAERB evaluates potential surveyors by the criteria listed above and, in addition, tries to ensure that surveyors have a variety of skills. Successful applicants will receive an acceptance letter and information about upcoming training sessions.

Required Surveyor Service
Successful applicants are required to attend a Surveyor Training Workshop. All surveyors are expected to participate in at least one survey every year. After the initial training, they are provided with additional online training annually. That online training is required, and surveyors will be expected to complete an “update” quiz to show compliance. Medical assisting educators and practitioners are required to keep their professional medical assisting credential current.

Surveyor Terms
Surveyors are appointed to five-year terms. Prior to the end of the term, there will be a reappointment review to determine if another five-year term is appropriate. The material reviewed will include the following:

• Number of visits conducted over the past five years and general availability to MAERB
• Findings of the peer and program evaluations that were completed after each site visit
• MAERB’s office’s records on participation in surveys and punctuality in submitting materials
• For Team Coordinators, review and evaluation of the OSSRs

Based upon this review, it will be determined if the surveyor is to be recommended for reappointment.

A surveyor may be removed from the list of active surveyors at any point during the five-year term if there are serious issues that emerge through the evaluation process. In such an event, the surveyor will be notified in writing. There is no appeal process for removal.

Surveyors may request to become inactive for up to one year, due to personal or professional reasons. In such a situation, the MAERB Office will extend the five-year term for one additional year. If a
surveyor does not conduct a visit for two or more consecutive years, she/he will need to attend a Surveyor Training Workshop prior to going out on another site visit.

Initial Training for Surveyors
Prior to being assigned to their first site visit, surveyors need to participate in a Surveyor Training Workshop, which entails pre-workshop preparation and successful completion of a post-workshop exam.

Workshop attendees are required to be very familiar with the following materials:

- CAAHEP Standards and Guidelines for the Accreditation of Educational Programs in Medical Assisting
- MAERB Policy and Procedures Manual
- MAERB Program Director Handbook
- Educational Competencies for the Medical Assistant (ECMA)
- Surveyor Handbook

These materials will be covered in the Surveyor Training Workshop, but it is expected that the attendees study the material prior to attending the workshop. In addition, as further preparation for the Surveyor Training Workshop, there will be several videos that will provide you with additional background about these materials.

The Surveyor Training Workshop will then provide prospective surveyors with the opportunity to apply the materials listed above prior to the workshop so that the activities and the behaviors necessary to conduct a successful site visit are practiced.

After the workshop, prospective surveyors need to complete and pass the Site Visitor exam, which is at the CAAHEP website (www.CAAHEP.org). In addition, there will be other requirements that MAERB will outline at the surveyor workshop.

The last, and very important, step is that prospective surveyors need to sign a “Site Surveyor Code of Ethics (see Appendix A for an example).

Ivy Reade Relkin Surveyor Training Grant
The MAERB offers a small number of grants of up to $500 (for actual expenses incurred, based on the travel reimbursement policy) to attend a Surveyor Training Workshop to individuals who have been newly appointed as MAERB site surveyors and who apply for a grant. Not all individuals who submit a grant application will be given a grant. Applications are reviewed by MAERB, and all applicants will be notified of their application and the board’s decision.

Conflict of Interest
When requesting visits and after being assigned a visit, site surveyors need to ensure that they have no material, academic, or professional conflict of interest, and they need to avoid situations which may lead to potential conflicts of interest while on the site.

A material conflict of interest refers to any situation in which a surveyor stands to gain materially from either association with representatives from the program/institution being visited or from actually
visiting the site. Site surveyors are prohibited from making recommendations or promoting specific textbooks or other instructional materials while serving as a surveyor, as that may be perceived as a conflict of interest if the surveyor has something to gain from that recommendation. In addition, this would also be an academic conflict of interest, as programs have the autonomy to choose their own textbooks and instructional material. Surveyors should also disqualify themselves from a given site visit if at any point they have served as a consultant to that program or to the sponsoring institution or organization of the program.

While on site, surveyors should not accept favors, services, gifts, or gratuities from the institution or program being visited. When hospitality is offered, site surveyors should use good judgment and common sense to determine its appropriateness. It is generally appropriate and helpful for programs to stock the resource room with coffee, soft drinks, and snacks, for example, for the convenience of the site surveyors.

An academic or professional conflict of interest may occur due to relationships within the academic community of medical assisting. Surveyors are prohibited from visiting schools in the state in which they live or work. In addition, if it the surveyor has a friendship or any current or past working relationship with the program director, this is a conflict of interest. Site surveyors should not sign up to visit programs at which relatives, former students, or associates are employed or at institutions in which the site surveyor has been employed.

And, finally, the most difficult type of conflict of interest may arise when a surveyor has a philosophical conflict with the program: for example, curricular design, staffing, or interactions with the community. As another example, the MAERB allows the programs to fulfill the exam participation and passage outcome thresholds with five NCCA-accredited exams. Surveyors should not recommend or endorse any one exam, as all are acceptable. Surveyors should identify during the Self-Study review process if they are going to be able to remain objective about the design or structure of the program. Programs have the freedom and responsibility to comply with the Standards and Guidelines in a variety of ways, and site surveyors have the responsibility to evaluate compliance based upon that individuality. Expecting a program to comply with one’s own beliefs is a philosophical conflict of interest, and Surveyors need to recuse themselves immediately if those philosophical differences threaten to affect objectivity.

Confidentiality
Medical assisting programs that are either CAAHEP-accredited or going through the initial accreditation process can be assured that the information that they share with MAERB remains confidential and that MAERB site surveyors are required to respect that confidentiality. While there is certain information about the program that is public and is posted or published for an external audience, there is also information that is private and is shared with the MAERB surveyors in order to provide context or background. The MAERB site surveyors are pledged to confidentiality in those situations and may not share that information with others. It is appropriate for surveyors to share information with the MAERB staff, as the staff are committed to the same confidentiality, but it is not appropriate to share confidential information with any other person or entity.

Surveyor Responsibilities
Site surveyor responsibilities for the comprehensive review include, but are not limited to, the following:
• Extensive and analytical review of the program’s Self-Study prior to the site visit
• A two-day (sometimes three-day) site visit that frequently involves long days and numerous meetings
• Submission, on the part of the Team Coordinator, of the On-Site Survey Report (OSSR)
• Follow-up conversations about the OSSR, as it is reviewed by the MAERB Office and the MAERB Liaison

In the fall and spring of each year, the MAERB office emails all surveyors the list of available visits for the following term. For example, in the fall, the assignments are for the following spring. Surveyors are asked to respond with their top four preferences/choices, and then the MAERB office takes that aggregated information and assigns surveyors, in teams of two or more, to one of the chosen visits. Surveyors are not required to conduct a site visit each semester; on the other hand, surveyors may conduct more than one visit per semester, if they wish.

Prior to submitting your preferences for a given semester, it is important to do the following:

• Check your personal calendar for availability.
• Clear all potential site visit dates with your supervisor.

The MAERB office expects that you will participate in the site visit to which you have been assigned.

The number of team members on a given site visit varies according to the number of programs and/or campuses at a specific institution. For example, a multiple campus program that has one program and two campuses will often be assigned three team members for a two-day visit. An institution that sponsors both an Associates and a Certificate program, along with having one or more additional campuses, will need either additional surveyors and/or a third day for the visit. MAERB has also used teams of four to best utilize the surveyors’ time. When surveyors are submitting their preferences for an upcoming semester, they are aware of those programs that are either multiple campus or multiple awards. The details will, at times, change, depending upon the availability of the surveyors and the size of the program.

In 2013 MAERB changed the duration of the site visit (from 1 ½ days to 2 full days and from 2 ½ days to 3 full days), so you need to be cognizant of that when signing up for a proposed visit and when you make travel arrangements. Programs expect the survey teams to spend the full allotment of time on the campus; under no circumstances should surveyors make plans to conclude the visit early, without prior permission from both the school and the MAERB office.

Teams are designed to provide effective coverage of the program. Recognizing that serving as a site surveyor is professional development for members of the medical assisting community, the MAERB office carefully designs the teams to ensure that those team members who are less experienced are paired with a surveyor who is more experienced.

Every team has a Team Coordinator, who has the responsibility of leading the team through the process of the site visit review; however, the team does work collaboratively. Site surveyors may be eligible to serve as Team Coordinators after two visits if their evaluations have been positive, but the MAERB office understands that some people prefer to defer that service until they have completed three or four visits.
To effectively train Team Coordinators, the MAERB assigns a mentor (an individual who has served as a Team Coordinator previously) to the new Team Coordinator.

Team Coordinator duties include the following:

- Coordinating with the Team Member on the travel to and from the site visit
- Working with the program director to create an agenda for the visit
- Communicating, with the Team Member, the team’s findings to the program personnel at the exit interview. Traditionally, the Team Member reports the strengths during the exit interview, and the Team Coordinator reads the rest of the interview, including the deficiencies.
- Completing and submitting the On-Site Survey Report
- Responding to questions from the MAERB office and the MAERB Liaison about specific citations and their corresponding rationales

The Team Member assists and supports all these activities. It should be noted that the Team Coordinator will be the only contact with the Program Director prior to the visit to avoid confusion.

Emergency Situations
MAERB takes its commitment to the program very seriously, and the MAERB expects that surveyors fulfill their commitment to visit a site once the date has been confirmed. There are, however, emergency issues that come up. In those situations, contact the MAERB office immediately to let the staff know of your situation, even if it may still be possible for you to attend the visit. Because a program prepares many months for the site visit and, obviously, does not want it to be postponed, the MAERB office greatly appreciates being given advance notice of any potential conflict, in order to make backup plans if necessary. In other words, if you have any concerns about your ability to conduct the site visit, contact the MAERB office immediately. If you need to withdraw from participation in a site visit, you will have to contact Foremost Travel to cancel your flight as well.

The MAERB/CAAHEP Site Visit

Information Provided to Surveyors
As outlined above, site surveyors are assigned to site visits well in advance of the actual site visit, usually four to seven months ahead of time.

The goal is to send the site surveyors the Self-Study Report via Hightail at least two months prior to the site survey. At the same time as the Hightail submission, the surveyors are also sent the following materials in order to effectively prepare for the visit.

- **Surveyor To Do List**: A list of items that need to be done prior, during, and after the site survey.
- **Documents for On-Site Review and Document Checklist**: This checklist outlines the instructions provided to the Program Director from the MAERB office, so that you understand what the Program Director is using to organize the visit.
- **Site Visit Agendas DRAFT**: There are three options, depending upon the type of visit, for schedules that must be adapted in consultation with the Program Director.
- **Site Visit Scripts and Guides**: Scripts for institutional groups, containing questions that will need to be adapted.
• **Policy Shortcuts**: Specific MAERB policies that are frequently referred to during the site visit. Policy & Procedure 110, “Advertising of Accreditation Status”; Policy 205 “Annual Report Form Processing”; and Policy 220, “Retention of Course Documents and Student Achievement Records.”

• **Travel Voucher**: The expense voucher that needs to be submitted by each surveyor after the visit.

• **Annual Report Form PDF**: A PDF version of the program’s most recent Annual Report Form (ARF) to review prior to the visit.

• **Site Visit Data Sheet**: Contact and travel/hotel information for the Program Director and survey team.

• **Travel Instructions and Policy**

• **Institution Self Study Review Form**: This is a new form that you will need to fill out and submit with your OSSR. It contains the comments from the MAERB Liaisons about the Self-Study, and it provides you with a space to respond to those comments.

• **NEW! Sample Emails**: These sample letters are designed for use by the Team Coordinator to communicate with the Team Member and Program Director.

• **Site Surveyor Handbook**: This publication will be included for your reference.

All this information is sent through Hightail, which is a dropbox transmission service that allows for folders to be transmitted electronically. In the email that accompanies the transmission of this material, there are directions for how to download documents from Hightail. It is vitally important that the material be downloaded within the two-week frame. The best thing to do is to download it immediately.

Likewise, it is critical that you read the information promptly and completely, as the MAERB office often finds that it fields questions and concerns that could have been eliminated if the surveyors had simply read the material.

**Travel Arrangements**

It is recommended that the site surveyors start making the travel arrangements upon receiving the site visit materials, as there are several factors that frame the travel decisions. It is required that the arrangements be made at least one month prior to the visit. The Team Coordinator should contact the team member and review site visit and travel plans as soon as the site visit information is received via Hightail. In addition, the Program Director should be consulted regarding travel arrangements prior to making those plans definite. Outlined below are some of the variables that shape the travel to and from the site.

Airline travel arrangements, hotel accommodations, and, if necessary, plans for rental cars, are made through the MAERB designated travel agent. Please see Appendix B in order to review the complete instructions and MAERB’s Travel Policy.

It is expected that the school will provide transportation for the site surveyors to and from the airport and during the site visit, if a reasonably priced shuttle is not available. The Team Coordinator needs to consult with the Program Director along with the Team Member(s). If it is absolutely necessary to rent a car, the travel agent will contact the MAERB Executive Director for authorization.
Detailed information about contacting the Travel Agent is found in MAERB’s Travel Policy (Appendix B) at the end of this document.

As a summary, the Team Coordinator and Team Member(s) will work in coordination with the authorized travel agency. The plane ticket will be paid directly by MAERB. The hotel reservations will be made at the same time the flight is booked, but the payment will be made by the surveyors at the time of the site visit. Surveyors are required to submit a travel voucher at the end of the site visit for hotel and food expenses.

In arranging the travel, the surveyors need to ensure that they set up a meeting time, usually the night before the visit, so that they can discuss the Self-Study Report and strategize the best approach for talking with the Program Director and other communities of interest.

**Self-Study Report**

Prior to the site surveyors receiving the Self-Study, it will have already been reviewed by the MAERB office and the MAERB Liaison. The Team Members receive a “Self-Study Review Form” that has been filled out by the Case Manager and by the Liaison. The MAERB Case Manager reviews the Self-Study to identify any obvious omissions and then makes contact with the Program Director to retrieve any missing materials. If the Program Director is not able to supply the missing information, the Case Manager will make note of it for the Team.

After the Case Manager reviews the Self-Study, the MAERB Liaison, who oversees the accreditation process for the program, reviews it, focusing on two central questions: Are there any specific concerns about the program meeting the Standards, based on the documentation? Does the sequence of the program appear logical? The liaison’s questions for the program can range from the simple—*Can you verify the public member on the advisory committee?*—to more complicated points—*How does the program follow up on its resource assessment?* If the Liaison has any comments or questions, they will be sent to the survey team along with the initial transmission of the Self-Study; on occasion, this information may be sent subsequent to the first transmission. The Liaison does not, however, look at the Curriculum Map to verify that the MAERB Core Curriculum is accurately represented.

Those comments and questions are essentially requests for follow-up on the part of the survey team. After the visit, the Team Coordinator will need to fill out the final column of the Self-Study Review form and respond to each question/comment. Some of the comments and questions require the surveyors being onsite, while answers to others might become evident during the team’s discussion of the Self-Study. The Team Coordinator should share those questions with the Program Director prior to the site visit so that the program has the best opportunity for resolution by the time of the visit.

It is vitally important that the site surveyors fully review the Self-Study prior to arriving on the site. It is not a task that can be done on the airplane heading to visit the site. While there are a great many details to examine within the Self-Study, the central purpose in reviewing the Self Study is to prepare for the site visit. Careful review and study of the Self-Study will save time on site, as questions for the Program Director can be prepared in advance and checklists for items to review can be created. You will be looking for the following issues:

- inconsistent statements or materials
- omitted or out-of-date items
• items needing verification
• incorrect material

It is important that the surveyors communicate with each other to identify any immediate concerns. Surveyors should share with each other their areas of expertise so that they can effectively work together during the site visit.

In addition, surveyors should start reviewing the program’s syllabi to determine if the MAERB Core Curriculum cognitive objectives and psychomotor and affective competencies are listed on the syllabi. Prior to a given site visit, you will have been sent the On-Site Survey Report (OSSR), which consists of a Word document and three Excel workbooks. You will fill out your findings about the MAERB Core Curriculum. In the Excel workbooks you will note that a sample of cognitive objectives and psychomotor and affective competencies are already highlighted for you. You should start with that sample and track to ensure that the syllabi (or an appropriate addendum) contain the specific objective. If you find that there are a number missing, you should start tracking all of them. Working on the representation of the MAERB Core Curriculum prior to the visit will allow you to spend your time at the program considering the substance of the assessment tools. The Team Coordinator and Team Member have the option of dividing up those duties.

Contact with Program Director

As site surveyors prepare for the visit, it is important for the Team Coordinator to be in touch with the Program Director during this process. As the team communicates via email and/or phone during the review of the Self-Study, any larger issues should be discussed with the Program Director at least one month prior to the team’s arrival at the site visit. Providing the Program Director with a “heads up” about any concerns establishes a collegial atmosphere for the visit and may eliminate some unnecessary citations. Many Program Directors are new to the site visit process, and it occurs so infrequently that there is always the need for re-education.

In addition, the Team Coordinator needs to consult with the Program Director about the agenda for the visit (more details below) and about the documentation required to be in the Resource Room. In addition, this is a good time to remind the Program Director of some of the items that are important to be included in the Resource Room. The Program Director Handbook has a good outline of these requirements.

The Program Director should already have a copy of the Documents for On-Site Review and Document Checklist, which outlines the materials that are necessary on site. To ensure that there is no confusion during the site visit itself, it is very important to contact the Program Director at least several weeks before the visit and carefully review each item on the Checklist. There should be no assumptions made that the Program Director clearly understands precisely what is involved. Ask very direct questions about how the materials are organized such as the following:

- How is the electronic material being organized?
- Have you highlighted the specific assessment tools?
- Have you organized the Annual Report Form data by admission cohort for retention, job placement, graduate survey, employer survey and by graduation year for the exam?
- What method are you using to ensure that the right materials can be easily found?
The majority of this communication should occur at least 30 days prior to the site visit, and it is also important to confirm the logistical details with the Program Director at least one week before the visit.

**Agenda**

MAERB publishes drafts of site visit agendas, but those agendas need to be tailored specifically to the program, based on the availability of the many communities of interest: faculty, staff, students, employers, advisory committee members, and so on.

The site visit agenda should be a collaborative effort, developed by both the Program Director and the Team Coordinator. The draft agendas that are published by MAERB should be used as a resource, but it will be necessary to modify them, as there are several different schedules. The site visit should always begin with an informal meeting with the Program Director, followed by the formal meeting with the larger group of administrators and faculty who are involved with the program. The visit should conclude with an informal meeting with the Program Director, followed by an exit interview with the administration and program personnel. All other meetings can be scheduled according to the availability of the specific groups.

Outlined below are some of the common elements of a site visit agenda. It is very important in all these meetings that the site surveyors obtain a list of names and titles of the people with whom they speak, as that information is included in the On-Site Survey Report (OSSR).

**Initial Meeting with the Program Director (Practicum Coordinator and Faculty may also be involved)**

At this initial meeting, the surveyors should share with the Program Director what they have learned about the program through the Self Study and what questions they have that they would like answered during the site visit. One of the goals of this initial meeting is to address any concerns that the Program Director might have about the process, without making any specific promises about accreditation decisions. In addition, it is a good time for the site surveyors to ask about any changes that may have taken place after the submission of the Self-Study. It is important to establish that, while the site surveyors function as the eyes and ears of MAERB/CAAHEP, they don’t make any of the accreditation decisions. The role of the surveyors is to gather information and to report it.

**Opening Session with Program Officials**

This session should include all the individuals at the institution who are involved in the program, specifically the administration. At this meeting, site surveyors should do the following:

1. Introduce themselves, including their role on the survey team, titles and current and past roles within medical assisting education and practice.
2. Explain that they represent MAERB and CAAHEP.
3. Define the purpose of the visit, which is to verify and clarify the Self-Study Report and the medical assisting program’s compliance with the *Standards and Guidelines*.
4. Emphasize that the information gathered, unless defined as public, will remain confidential.
5. Remind the program that the site surveyors do not make accreditation decisions.
6. Explain that the interviews with students, graduates, employers, and other communities of interest provide information to help the site surveyors gather an accurate picture of the program, and that all the interviews need to take place without the Program Director or medical assisting faculty being present.

In addition to these points, the site surveyors should read the formal script that outlines the formal accreditation processes.

**Other Meetings and Activities**

1. Interviewing students, graduates, employers, program support services, practicum supervisors, faculty, and Advisory Committee members
2. Reviewing student records and curriculum folders
3. Visiting the pertinent laboratories and classrooms
4. If applicable, visiting other campuses and practicum sites

These meetings allow for the triangulation that is so vitally important to the verification and validation process. During these meetings, the site surveyors will be relying upon the scripts available to them. On many occasions, the same question, reframed according to the audience, will be asked to different groups. Discrepancies in documentation should be discussed with the Program Director to ascertain if there is any available material to address potential issues.

During these meetings, the site surveyors should take careful notes, as, if there are any citations, the rationale needs to be based upon evidence directly related to the *Standards and Guidelines*.

**Informal Closing Meeting with the Program Director**

During the site visit, the site surveyors should communicate and keep in close contact with the Program Director and, if any questions arise based upon the interviews, the site surveyors should request, if possible, information from the Program Director to respond to those issues. This informal meeting allows for a final check-in order to ensure that the site surveyors have reviewed all the material pertinent to the site visit.

**Exit Interview**

The Program Director, in consultation with the administration, determines the individuals who attend this final meeting. The site surveyors provide an oral summary of the team’s assessment of the program’s strengths and potential citations. The site surveyors should remind and inform the audience of the following points:

1. The confidential information will remain confidential.
2. The site visit team does not make accreditation decisions.
3. The program will receive a version of the On-Site Survey Report after it has been reviewed by the MAERB office, approximately one month after the site visit.
4. The program has the opportunity to correct any citations prior to CAAHEP’s final review, by submitting material directly to MAERB.
5. The program will receive an online evaluation form to evaluate the site surveyors and the support offered from the MAERB office.

In addition, at the exit interview, the site surveyors present the strengths of the program as well as outline the potential citations. MAERB surveyors should not add any additional information such as suggestions or possibilities for improvement, as those do not relate to the Standards and Guidelines and they can appear to represent the surveyor’s personal views. To ensure that the visit remains focused on the main goal, surveyors should only report on the findings of the OSSR.

All the meetings listed above are a big portion of the site visit, and those meetings are often the hardest for the Program Director to coordinate as there are a number of busy people involved. The Team Coordinator and the Program Director should agree on the agenda prior to the team arriving onsite so that the Program Director can set up the various meetings. There are times in which the agenda can shift, due to changing schedules, but the team should avoid making any last-minute changes to the agenda and be respectful of the schedules of the communities of interest.

Questions and Scripts for meetings/interviews at the site visit
To assist the surveyors in conducting interview and meetings, there are scripts and questions available to both cover the important points as well as to start the conversations with the many communities of interest. The scripts for the opening interview and the closing interview are very important, as they cover the relevant legal information. Surveyors are sent those scripts together with the materials that they receive for each given site visit.

The questions for the various meeting are, however, designed to be guides rather than mandates. You may find that there are other areas that you wish to cover. You should, however, note that many of the questions designed for these interviews focus on the program’s outcomes, and that focus is quite deliberate. Your goal is to determine how the program meets those outcomes within the requirements of the Standards and Guidelines.

It is important to remember that the people with whom you talk at the site visit might not fully understand precisely why you are visiting the medical assisting program, even though they know it is for an important reason. That is not necessarily the fault of the Program Director. It’s just that accreditation of academic institutions and educational is somewhat mysterious for those who are outside the process, and even for some of those who are in the process. It is part of your job to introduce yourselves and to explain your team’s function.

Materials Needed for the Site Visit
The surveyors need to bring with them the program’s Self-Study Report, the customized OSSR (On-Site Survey Report), along with all the other documents that were sent by the MAERB office, such as the PDF of the Annual Report Form. In addition, surveyors should bring their own laptops, since programs are required to use electronic files.

Materials to be Reviewed at the Site Visit
At the program site, the Program Director will have the blank assessment tools for the curriculum available for the site surveyors to review. The MAERB Core Curriculum is a central component in
assessing a program’s compliance with the *Standards and Guidelines*. All the cognitive objectives and the psychomotor and affective competences are required to be taught and assessed; all the psychomotor and affective competencies need to be achieved prior to graduation. To preserve the integrity of the sequence of the program, and since many of the competencies rely upon previously taught competencies, programs need to ensure that students who are enrolled in a specific course achieve all the competencies before passing the course and being allowed to progress in the program.

On the OSSR that the site surveyors are sent, there are specific cognitive objectives and psychomotor and affective competencies that are highlighted. In the section above “Self-Study Report,” there is a discussion about tracking the MAERB Core Curriculum on the syllabi. At the site, the site surveyors are asked to check the folders for those materials to ensure that the program is assessing the students on the specific objectives or competencies.

In reviewing the assessment tools for the cognitive objectives and the psychomotor and affective competencies, the site surveyors have three central goals:

1. To assure that the program is assessing the material.
2. To ensure that the assessment is appropriate for the objective or competency and for the specific domain.
3. In the case of the psychomotor and affective competencies, to ensure that the students who have passed the course have achieved all the competencies that are taught and assessed in the course.

In addition to the blank assessment tools for the curricular materials, the Program Director will also have the following items available: course syllabi; the course roster of students for the most recently assessed group of students; a gradebook; and a tracking mechanism (i.e., detailed gradebook or master competency check list or a mechanism of the program’s design) to ensure the achievement of all psychomotor and affective competencies. These materials all help the surveyors ascertain that the material has been taught and assessed for the most recent cohort, as the chart below indicates.
The MAERB revised Policy 220 “Retention of Course Documents and Student Achievement Records” and removed the requirement that Program Directors keep the transcripts of the students. At the same time, Standard V.D requires that satisfactory records be maintained for student admission, advisement, counseling, and evaluation. It mandates that grades and credits for courses must be recorded on the student transcript and permanently maintained by the sponsor in a safe and accessible location. As surveyors, you can certainly request a copy of a student transcript if you have any concerns about course sequencing.

Annual Report Form (ARF) Raw Data

The site surveyors have the responsibility of ensuring that the program’s raw data, such as retention records, completed graduate and employer surveys, and job placement records, match the summative data that is recorded on the program’s most recent ARF. If the surveyors are reviewing a program applying for initial accreditation, there is no raw data to review, but surveyors may find themselves having conversations with those program directors to help them understand the raw data they will need to keep in the future.

Before reviewing the accuracy of the summative data on the ARF, the site surveyors should confirm with the Program Director that the materials are organized by admission cohort data for all outcomes, except for the exam data, which should be organized by graduation date.

With the MAERB office’s transmission of the program’s Self Study to the site surveyors, it also sends the most recent version of the ARF that the program has submitted. However, because of the timing of the ARF due date, surveyors may find, upon arrival, that there is a more recent version of the ARF available. In such an instance, the site surveyors should use the updated ARF when validating the accuracy of the
raw data. If there is any confusion, the surveyors can check with the Program Director on the site to ensure accuracy.

At this point in time, MAERB is collecting data for the exam threshold, but the MAERB is not monitoring the thresholds. Site surveyors may find that programs are in various stages in terms of having their students/graduates take an exam.

As has been noted, the site surveyors’ central task regarding the ARF is to ensure that the summative data of the ARF is supported by the raw data. At the same time, the site surveyors are to consistently discuss the importance of outcomes with all the communities of interest.

The Outcomes Threshold Chart, which is found on the MAERB website, provides an outline of the raw data that the program should be retaining and that the surveyors will need to review.

Because the program’s Annual Report Form (ARF) is submitting by the program annually and is reviewed by the MAERB office and supervised by the MAERB members, the site surveyors do not “cite” the programs if they have not met the thresholds. At the same time, in considering the links between the outcomes and the other standards, site surveyors will certainly be having conversations about the program’s outcomes.

Student, Patient, and Instructional Staff Safety

CAAHEP’s Standards and Guidelines focus on student, patient, and instructional staff safety. It is important that the laboratory setting is up to clinical settings. One issue that comes up in this area is the use of expired materials.

Many programs receive donated, expired materials, and there can be a valid use for those materials. Most medical materials, such as needles, fluids, disinfectant solutions, catheters, sutures, and so on, are imprinted with an expiration date. Beyond this date, the manufacturer does not guarantee the sterility, safety, or stability of the item. All expired medical supplies MUST be clearly marked and labeled, so they are not inadvertently used on live human subjects.

NOTE: It is never acceptable for programs to use expired or non-expired medications, but they may use “mock meds” or “demo meds” in simulations only.

Acceptable practice for expired medical supplies in the following instances:

- Instructing students in a simulated environment
  - Example: Instructor is demonstrating the technique of administration of parenteral medications on injection pads. The use of expired medical supplies are acceptable for the demonstration of skills when not performing on live human subjects.

- During student simulated practice times
  - Example: Student practicing the technique of venipuncture on a simulated human arm, prior to assessment of competency. The use of expired medical supplies are acceptable for the practice of skills when not performing on live human subjects.

- Assessing student skills for technique only
  - Example: CLIA waived tests have quality controls built in or available separately. Expired tests and controls may be used as long as they are being used to assess the technique of...
completing the test correctly and not for the purpose of performing a quality control measure.

**Unacceptable practice** to use expired medical supplies in the following instances:

- Any invasive procedures, such as injections, venipuncture, capillary puncture, on live human subjects, including any solutions that are injected, needles that are used, and so on.
  - Example: Students learning to administer parenteral medications initially practice on injection pads, but then they inject fellow students. Students must use in-date supplies when using live human subjects.
- If the use of an expired supply would result in an inability to assess that the student was successful in achieving competence.
  - Example: Students are being assessed on performing a quality control measure using a liquid control solution with a chemistry analyzer. The liquid control must be in-date as it is critical to the assessment of the competency of the student.

**Professional Standards for MAERB Surveyors**

MAERB Surveyors represent MAERB and CAAHEP, and it is important to remember that all comments and behaviors will be evaluated by the visited program. As is outlined in a previous section, MAERB Surveyors are held to very high standards in regards to the confidentiality of program materials and potential conflicts of interest. Peer review is the hallmark of the accreditation system in the United States, and there are certain professional standards that need to be adhered to for it to be effective.

**Professional Behavior**

1. **Be respectful:** The program and institution have invested a great deal of time and energy preparing for this site visit. It is important to acknowledge this by your behavior. You need to invest your full energy and attention to the program during the site visit. Avoid checking your email or texting when you are meeting with program personnel. Don’t engage in personal conversations with your team member in front of program personnel. Treat with respect the schedule that has been developed. It may be that you don’t think that the program is not displaying enough attention or respect to the process, but that should not affect your behavior. Be on time for meetings.
2. **Dress-up:** Remember that the program has dressed up for the site visit. It is expected that you dress professionally. Jeans and sneakers are not appropriate attire.
3. **Be kind:** The people you meet at the site visit are going to be apprehensive. Combat that fear with kindness. Frightened people don’t talk freely, and your goal is to get people talking about the program so you can find out the information that you need.
4. **Seek a common ground:** Don’t emphasize your credentials, experience, or position to verify your ability to conduct the site visit. The fact that MAERB has sent you to the site is enough verification, and it is important not to intimidate the people at the site.
5. **Collaborate with your peer surveyor:** It is important that the program not derive difference of opinions amongst the surveyors visiting. If there are any concerns about working with your fellow surveyor, contact the MAERB office, but do not publicly denigrate your partner.
Accreditation Representation

1. **Focus on CAAHEP**: Many of the programs are unsure about the relationship between MAERB and AAMA. Correct them gently when they talk about AAMA accreditation, as there is no such thing, and be prepared to describe CAAHEP. In addition, you can explain the relationship (see the sections at the beginning of this handbook) between MAERB, CAAHEP, AAMA, and AAMA Endowment.

2. **Be prepared**: Arrive at the campus well-prepared and with a list of items that you want to discuss and accomplish while there. Nothing will damage your credibility more as a peer reviewer than if the program thinks that you have not read the Self-Study.

3. **Be thorough**: You have a lot to cover on the site visit, and it is important that it be covered. The accreditation process itself loses credibility if you indicate that the program is in compliance in an area that you have not reviewed.

4. **Avoid looking for problems**: A frequent complaint made by the programs is that the site surveyors seem to be looking for flaws and errors. Avoid asking “trap” questions; rather, ask searching questions. Acknowledge the positive thoughts and sentiments expressed by the participants. When hearing concerns, seek to verify it in other areas and remain open to the full range of evidence.

5. **Avoid referencing other programs**: It is frequently tempting to reference how your program does things. Ironically enough, program personnel often ask for that advice. But they really don’t want it, as they feel that their program is being evaluated against yours. In order to avoid that conundrum, either say nothing at all, or say, “I have seen some programs do it this way.”

6. **Ensure the integrity of the conversations**: Explain that you need to interview students, graduates, faculty, and advisory committee members privately so that you can get honest feedback from the participants.

7. **Don’t nurture your pet peeves**: All of the MAERB surveyors have different areas of expertise. Avoid going on a witch hunt within your area of expertise or a specific area of concern.

8. **Don’t tell them how to do it**: As we all know, there are many different processes that can be put into place to achieve an outcome. Programs need to develop their own processes in order to be compliant with the *Standards and Guidelines*.

9. **Don’t advocate for credentials**: The *Standards and Guidelines* allow for programs to meet outcome thresholds by having graduates pursue certification options from among any of the five NCCA-accredited exams in medical assisting: CMA (AAMA); RMA (AMT); NCMA (NCCT); CCMA (NHA); and CMAC (AMCA). Respect the decisions that the program has made.

10. **Focus on the larger issues**: There is a certain amount of checking that needs to be done on smaller issues, but don’t dwell on what can be easily fixed. Focus on the larger, more substantive issues.

11. **Be wary of institutional politics**: There may be times in which a Program Director asks the team to cite something as a way of getting the administration to pay attention to that issue. You need to stick with the minimum requirement that is outlined in the *Standards and Guidelines*.

12. **Acknowledge terminology differences**: Academic terminology can vary considerably across programs, even when we are all referring to the same thing. For example, the definition of “course learning objectives” varies considerably and has a number of different names.
13. **Avoid extraneous suggestions**: If an issue is not part of the *Standards and Guidelines* and is not being cited, do not mention it. The *Standards and Guidelines* create the necessary parameters of the visit.

**Conflict of Interest, Academic Integrity, and Confidentiality**

1. **Maintain confidentiality**: As is outlined above, you will be reassuring the program that the confidential information that you received will remain confidential. In addition, be careful what you share internally. At times, you may hear “side comments,” items not relevant to the *Standards and Guidelines*. Be careful not to share those with others.

2. **Don’t look for a job or seek an applicant**: Do not use the site visit as a method of job-hunting, as this is not appropriate. Likewise, don’t use the site visit as a means of finding out what “talent” is out there. It is inappropriate to poach faculty or administrators.

3. **Don’t recommend textbooks, learning management systems and so on**: CAAHEP and MAERB need to be extraordinarily careful not to endorse any book, publisher or company, and the site surveyors need to be vigilant in this area. In addition, it can be seen as a conflict of interest, if a Surveyor stands to benefit from one of those recommendations.

**After the Site Visit**

The Team Coordinator needs to submit the On-Site Survey Report (OSSR) within one week of the conclusion of the site visit. Along with your OSSR and the Core Curriculum workbooks, include your completed Review Form that addresses the issues that the Liaison has brought up prior to the site visit. Both site surveyors need to submit their expense reports and receipts within a week after the visit. If there are any concerns about the site visit, either the performance of one of the surveyors or issues within the program, site surveyors are asked to call either the MAERB Executive Director or Assistant Director. It is also necessary to evaluate your Team Member, and there is an evaluation link on the “Surveyor To Do List.” The MAERB office also sends out a reminder the week after the site visit.

The Team Coordinator will hear from the MAERB office approximately 1-2 weeks after the submission of the OSSR. The MAERB office will have produced a review form discussing the citations and the rationales. It is not unusual for the office to have questions about the OSSR and to seek clarification. There are several goals in providing that information: 1) provide timely feedback to the surveyors regarding the accuracy of their OSSR report; 2) assure that the citations (if any) are communicated with the program in the clearest possible terms; and 3) present a history of the changes to the MAERB board when it reviews the program. The OSSR has a range of audiences, and the goal of the MAERB office is to ensure that the OSSR meets the expectations of all the audiences.

The MAERB Review of your OSSR may ask you to give consent to certain changes in your report. The development of On-Site Survey Report is a collaborative process, and MAERB wishes to honor the experience that the surveyors had on the site. However, it’s not uncommon for changes in citations or in the wording of rationales to be made, either upon review by MAERB staff or at the MAERB meeting. In addition, a program is now allowed to correct any citations when it responds to the OSSR that is sent by the MAERB office.

**Conclusion**

Peer review is vital to the accreditation process, and the work that the MAERB site surveyors do is absolutely invaluable. It is volunteer work that needs to be conducted with integrity, professionalism.
and empathy. The site surveyors are required to be versed in the intricacies of accreditation and the
nuances of the Standards and Guidelines, the MAERB Policies and Procedures Manual, and a host of
other documents. The dedication of the individuals who take on this task is greatly appreciated and
valued.
Appendix A: Site Surveyor Code of Ethics

Site Surveyor Code of Ethics

As a site surveyor, I pledge myself to:

A. Respect the confidentiality of communications, documents and correspondence received from institutions and programs during the accreditation process.

B. Avoid disclosing to anyone the location of surveys for which assigned.

C. Complete assignments by the scheduled dates, so as not to impede the overall progress of the accreditation process.

D. Notify the Director of Accreditation if unable to complete an assignment by the scheduled date.

E. Make impartial decisions based upon the current CAAHEP Standards.

F. Represent the MAERB in a professional manner.

G. Not to remove original documents from site without approval by the Director of Accreditation.

H. Not serve as surveyor if:
   1. employed within the same state as the sponsoring institution;
   2. resides within the same state as the sponsoring institution;
   3. a graduate or former student of the program or another program owned by the same corporation or system;
   4. recently been an appointee of the sponsoring institution or has relatives who are appointees of the sponsoring institution;
   5. previously surveyed the program within the past 5 years;
   6. not acceptable to the program/sponsoring institution; or
   7. served as a consultant to the medical assisting program(s) within the previous two years.

I. Avoid making recommendations or promoting specific textbooks or other instructional materials while serving as a surveyor.

J. Avoid referencing affiliation(s) regarding any member of the survey team. (i.e., personal and professional memberships)

I also understand that:

MAERB discourages assignment of team members whether from the same state or from the same institution to serve on the same survey team.

MAERB discourages assignment of team members to serve with the same team member on subsequent surveys within a two year time frame.

__________________________________________________________  ____________________________
Signature                                               Date

August 2017
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Appendix B: Foremost Travel

MAERB is currently working with Foremost Travel (www.foremosttravel.com) in order to organize and manage the travel and lodging of the Site Surveyors for the accreditation site visits. Site Surveyors are required to book both travel (flights, rental cars) and hotels through Foremost.

**Foremost Travel phone numbers:** 800-225-7185 or 312-726-4492  
**Foremost Business Hours:** Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:00 pm (central)  
**Foremost Travel Agents:** Mary or Dan  
**Email:** Mary maryb@foremosttravel.com or Dan dan@foremosttravel.com  
**24/7 number for travel emergencies due to weather or delays:** (800) 544-0971  
**Travel Profile:** www.foremosttravel.com/index_profile.html

**Method of contacting the travel agents:**

1. You can send an email to Mary with your travel needs. If Mary is out of the office, the email will be automatically forwarded to Dan or another travel agent.
2. You can call the agency during their operating hours. If Mary is on another line, leave a message on her voicemail. If Mary is out of the office, her voicemail will indicate that and provide instructions for who to contact. Then you would contact that person and leave a message if s/he is not available.

Both MAERB and Foremost Travel Agency are deeply invested in providing good service to the MAERB site surveyors. Below is the typical response time from Foremost Agency if you contact them during the appropriate business hours listed above.

- An agent will respond within two hours in order to either get further information or to follow up. The travel will probably not be arranged within two hours but there will be a good start on setting it up.

If you have followed the suggested methods above and you do not receive a response from Foremost Travel, please contact Sarah Marino (smarino@maerb.com) in order to let her know that there are problems.

There are two dedicated travel agents, Mary and Dan, who will be working with the Site Surveyors. If they are not available, there are other travel agents at the organization who will be available to ensure that the travel arrangements will be made. The travel agency will handle air travel, hotel, and, if necessary, rental car reservations.

All surveyors will be asked to fill out a Personal Travel Profile Form, and you can access that form at the following link: www.foremosttravel.com/index_profile.html

The Team Coordinator should be contacting the Program Director as soon as possible after the Self-Study material has been received in order to find out more details about the logistics. It is expected that the program/sponsoring institution provide transportation from the airport to the hotel and back as well as transportation from the hotel to the program site. The program might also recommend the use of a shuttle service from the airport and arrange for transportation to the program. The Team Coordinator
should also contact the Team Member(s) so that they are aware of the additional details, including when the team will be meeting prior to the official start of the site visit.

This coordination is vitally important as Foremost Travel will be helping the team organize the travel in order to create efficiencies and to save money. Foremost Travel will have the list of programs/institutions to be visited, the names of the Site Surveyors visiting the locations, and the names of the hotels that the program recommended.

You will be able to make your arrangements by phone or email.

When you call Foremost Travel, you will be able to work with Mary or Dan in order to arrange for plane tickets and a hotel.

If you use the online service, you will be able to choose flights and a hotel. Then a record will be created with your requests, and you will be contacted in order to confirm the booking as well as ensure that those arrangements are consistent with the team arrangements.

If you want to drive to the program site in your own vehicle or rent a vehicle, you can certainly do so. You will need to call Foremost in order to get documentation that the cost of driving your own vehicle or renting a vehicle (reimbursement at the IRS rate) is less than the lowest air fare to the site. If the cost is higher, MAERB will reimburse you only to the cost of the lowest airfare.

In a situation in which the Team Coordinator has consulted with the Team Member and the Program Director and it is determined that there is a need for a rental car for the two Team Members for ground transportation, the Team Coordinator will arrange for the rental through Foremost and Foremost will contact the MAERB office for approval.

The air travel will be paid for by a MAERB credit card, so the only expenses that will need to be paid by the Surveyors at the site visit are for lodging, food, and, if applicable, a rental car. As stated above, Foremost Travel will help you to arrange for lodging and a rental car. You will need to supply your personal credit card in order to guarantee those reservations. No charges will be made for lodging or the rental car until you arrive at the hotel or rental car agency.

If there are any travel issues during the visit, you can call Foremost Travel during the following hours and days: Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:00 pm (central). If an issue occurs outside business hours, there is a 24/7 number for travel emergencies due to weather or delays: (800) 544-0971
MAERB Guidelines for Travel for Site Surveyors

Air Travel: Tickets should be purchased at least a month, if not more, in advance in order to take advantage of discount fares. The travel agent will be working with you in order to find the lowest fare in economy class. First-class travel is not reimbursable. If you choose to combine trips, due to family issues or other obligations, you can book the travel through Foremost and pay for the extra ticket. If MAERB needs to cancel the site visit or if you are unable to attend due to an emergency, the unused ticket will be on file at Foremost travel for use on another site visit. Airfare above $500 needs to be approved by the MAERB office, and Foremost will contact MAERB for that approval. MAERB will not pay for flight insurance or for flight changes due to a visit ending early or a change in plans.

Train, Bus or Shuttle: You may find that you can take public transportation or a shuttle from the airport to the hotel, and those costs are reimbursable. You will need to provide a receipt to submit with your travel expenses. On rare occasions, you may be able to take a train to the program site, and those expenses are reimbursable as well.

Automobile: You may determine that you would prefer to drive to the site visit using your own vehicle. In that instance, you would be reimbursed for mileage at the rate set by the IRS (or the least expensive air fare), whichever is the least expensive. Document mileage with a printout from a website such as MapQuest.com. Toll fees and parking charges are also reimbursable with receipts. Because MAERB pays by mileage, gas costs are not reimbursed as the mileage charge covers that. You will need to get documentation from Foremost about the least expensive air fare.

Lodging: Surveyors can book separate rooms, and, in order to limit costs, the room costs should be under $125. Foremost will contact MAERB to authorize costs above $125.

Rental Car: On rare occasions, the use of a rental car may be necessary. On no occasion, will there be more than one rental car for a team visit, as the team members are expected to coordinate arrangements. Foremost will contact MAERB to authorize the cost of the rental car. MAERB reimburses the rental car cost and the gas cost. MAERB does not reimburse mileage with rental cars. MAERB will also cover the insurance costs for the rental car.

Meals: Up to $65 per day will be reimbursed for actual meal expenses (including tips) or will be advanced upon request. Itemized receipts (not credit card statements) must be included. All reimbursements in excess of actual payments must be returned to the MAERB. MAERB will not reimburse purchases of alcoholic beverages.

Cancelling Tickets: If a visit is cancelled after you have purchased tickets or if you are not able to attend an already scheduled trip, you will need to call Foremost in order to cancel the ticket. It is vitally important that you do so as the value of the ticket will be kept on file at Foremost and will be used when you scheduled your next visit.

MAERB Travel Expense Vouchers

Airfare will be paid for in advance by MAERB, but Surveyors will need to submit a Travel Expense Voucher for the expenses incurred during the visit. You were sent a Travel Expense Voucher when you
received the program’s Self-Study. The Travel Expense Voucher is also available at the MAERB web site under the Documents Tab.

At the end of the visit, you must submit the Travel Expense Voucher to Tasha Harris (tharris@maerb.org) in the MAERB office with the necessary receipts.

Below is a list of questions that I have received and the answers to those questions.

1. If MAERB is going to put the air travel on its credit card, why do I need to provide my credit card in the Foremost Travel Agency Profile  
   As is outlined on the policy, MAERB is only able to pay for the air travel in advance. The credit card information that you supply is in order to reserve the hotel room. Your credit card will not be charged until you arrive at the hotel. In other words, it is precisely the same as when you reserve a hotel room on your own. You will find on the travel sheet that Foremost sends you information about the cancellation policy.

2. Will I get my frequent flier miles?  
   Yes, you will still get your frequent flier miles, and you will note that there is a section in Foremost’s travel profile for you to provide that information.

3. What if I have a TSA PreCheck number?  
   Foremost Travel can enter in your TSA pre-check number into your reservation.

4. What if I want to drive to the site using my own personal vehicle?  
   You can certainly drive to the site, and you will note that the Travel Policy outlines the reimbursement schedule for driving your own car. You do need to provide documentation when you submit your travel voucher that the costs of driving your own vehicle (reimbursement at 54 cents per mile) is less than the lowest air fare to the site, and Foremost will give you that information. If you want to drive in order to have your own vacation after the site or because you want to enjoy the scenery and it costs more than the lowest air fare, MAERB will reimburse you the lowest air fare. You will still need to use Foremost for the hotel room.

5. Do we still contact Tasha at the MAERB office if we want to rent a car?  
   No, you will be working with the travel agent in order to book a rental car, if necessary. As is true in the past, MAERB asks for the surveyors to find alternative ways to get to the hotel, such as airport shuttle, hotel shuttle, the program staff and so on. If a rental car is absolutely necessary, the travel agent will contact the MAERB office for authorization.

6. Do I need to contact the MAERB office for approval for travel prior to contacting the Travel agency?  
   No, if the ticket is over $500 or if the use of a rental car is requested than the travel agency will contact the MAERB office for approval.